



PCTF Legal Professionals Profile

Document Status: Final Recommendation V1.1

In accordance with the [DIACC Operating Procedures](#), a Final Recommendation is a deliverable that represents the findings of a DIACC Expert Committee that have been approved by an Expert Committee and have been ratified by a DIACC Sustaining Member Ballot.

This document has been developed by DIACC's [Trust Framework Expert Committee](#). It is anticipated that the contents of this document will be reviewed and updated on a regular basis to address feedback related to operational implementation, advancements in technology, and changing legislation, regulations, and policy. Notification regarding changes to this document will be shared through electronic communications including email and social media. Notification will also be recorded on the [Pan-Canadian Trust Framework Work Programme](#).

This document is provided "AS IS," and no DIACC Participant makes any warranty of any kind, expressed or implied, including any implied warranties of merchantability, non-infringement of third-party intellectual property rights, and fitness for a particular purpose. Those who are seeking further information regarding DIACC governance are invited to review the [DIACC Controlling Policies](#).

IPR: [DIACC Intellectual Property Rights V1.0](#) | © 2025

Table of Contents

1. Introduction to the PCTF Legal Professionals Profile	3
2. Trusted Processes	3
3. Profile Background	4
4. Document Conventions	4
4.1 Conformance Criteria Keywords	4
4.2 Terms and Definitions	5
5. Conformance Criteria	5
6. Revision History.....	13

1. Introduction to the PCTF Legal Professionals Profile

This document specifies the Conformance Criteria for the Pan-Canadian Trust Framework (PCTF) Legal Professionals Profile. For a general introduction to the PCTF, including contextual information and the PCTF goals and objectives, please see the [PCTF Overview](#).

The Federation of Law Societies of Canada (FLSC) leads efforts to prevent money laundering and terrorist financing in the practice of law. Model rules developed by the Federation and implemented by Canada's law societies, ensure members of the legal profession are bound by comprehensive "know-your-client" requirements. These rules also restrict the use of professional trust accounts and limit the amount of cash legal professionals can accept.

It can be difficult for individual lawyers to perform client identification and verification in a consistent, reliable, and repeatable manner given that these activities may be outside their fields of expertise. For various reasons, lawyers may seek professional assistance with client verification processes from other agents or vendors that provide those services on a commercial basis.

This profile has been created to outline a series of Conformance Criteria that can be used to assess these organizations against a set of common practices and criteria to ensure a consistent and reliable result regardless of which compliant organization is used. This can provide lawyers with the assurance that a verified compliant provider is meeting the minimum standards necessary to ensure that verification of a client's identity has been completed.

This profile includes the Conformance Criteria themselves as well as related information essential to understanding and interpreting those criteria including definitions of key terms and concepts. They also set constraints around the criteria used to standardize and assess the integrity of the Trusted Processes that are described within this profile.

2. Trusted Processes

The PCTF promotes trust through a set of auditable business and technical requirements for various processes. A process is a business or technical activity (or set of such activities) that transforms an input condition to an output condition – an output on which others typically rely.

In the PCTF context, a process that is designated a Trusted Process is assessed according to well-defined and agreed upon Conformance Criteria. The integrity of a Digital ID & Authentication Council of Canada
www.diacc.ca

Trusted Process is paramount because many participants - across jurisdictional, organizational, and sectoral boundaries and over the short-term and long-term - rely on the output of that process.

Note: For more information on Trusted Processes associated with client identification and verification, please review the [PCTF Verified Person](#) component.

3. Profile Background

The PCTF Legal Professionals Profile builds on work established in other related contexts to define pertinent Conformance Criteria, including:

- The FLSC [Model Rule on Client Identification and Verification](#);
- The [PCTF Verified Person](#) component; and,
- Best practices and lessons learned from established industry organizations that conduct these processes in the real world on a daily basis.

Note: PCTF Conformance Criteria do not replace or supersede existing regulations; organizations and individuals are expected to comply with relevant legislation, policy, and regulations in their jurisdiction.

4. Document Conventions

4.1 Conformance Criteria Keywords

The following keywords indicate the precedence and general rigidity of a given Conformance Criteria, and are to be interpreted as:

- **MUST** means that the requirement is absolute as part of the Conformance Criteria.
- **MUST NOT** means that the requirement is an absolute prohibition of the Conformance Criteria.
- **SHOULD** means that the requirement is expected to be met, except in limited cases where the applicant documents valid reasons or circumstances to ignore the requirement. The full implications of such an exception must be understood and carefully weighed before choosing to not adhere to the Conformance Criteria as described.
- **SHOULD NOT** means that a valid exception reason may exist in particular circumstances when the requirement is acceptable or even useful, however, the full implications should be understood and the case carefully weighed before choosing to not conform to the requirement as described.
- **MAY** means that the requirement is discretionary but recommended.

Keywords appear in **bold** and ALL CAPS in the Conformance Criteria.

4.2 Terms and Definitions

For a comprehensive list of terms and definitions used in the PCTF, please refer to the [PCTF Glossary](#).

- **Authorized Agent/Agent:** A lawyer may rely on an Agent to perform one or more Trusted Processes to collect information and verify the identity of an individual client, third party or individual, provided the lawyer and the Agent have an agreement in writing. Conformance Criteria in this profile refer to such an Agent as the Responsible Authority.
- **Reliable Source:** A Reliable Source is an originator or issuer of information that is used to verify the identity of the client. To be considered reliable under the FLSC Model Rule, the source should be well known and considered reputable. For example, reliable sources can be the federal, provincial, territorial and municipal levels of government, Crown corporations, financial entities or utility providers.
- **Responsible Authority:** A Role that a Participant performs to provide one or more of the Verified Person or Verified Organization Trusted Processes in order to establish that a Subject is real, unique, and identifiable, and protects related information against compromise.

5. Conformance Criteria

Conformance Criteria are organized according to the three methods to verify an individual's identity. The identification and verification methods described in the [Model CIV Rule](#) are:

Credit File Method:

- A method to verify an individual's identity by relying on information in a Canadian credit file if it has been in existence for at least three years. The name, address, and date of birth in the credit file must match that provided by the individual.

Dual Process Method:

- A method for verifying an individual's identity by relying on any two of the following:
 - information from a Reliable Source that contains the individual's name and address;
 - information from a Reliable Source that contains the individual's name and date of birth; and

- information containing the individual's name that confirms they have a deposit account or credit card or other loan account with a financial institution.

Photo ID Method:

- A method for verifying an individual's identity using a valid, authentic, and current (not expired) government-issued identification document containing the individual's name and photograph (e.g. driver's licence, passport, Secure Certificate of Indian Status, Permanent Resident Card, or certain provincial or territorial health insurance cards). Only identification documents issued by the Canadian federal government, a Canadian provincial or territorial government (or a foreign government if the identification document is equivalent to a Canadian-issued identification document) may be used. Identification documents issued by Canadian or foreign municipal governments **MUST NOT** be used.

Reference	Conformance Criteria
101	Federation of Canadian Law Societies
101.1	Client Identification and Verification
101.1.1	Credit File Method
101.1.1.10.2	The full name, home address and date of birth provided by the individual whose identity is being verified MUST be matched with the name, address and date of birth contained in the records of a Credit Bureau regulated in Canada (as examples, Equifax and Transunion).
101.1.1.20	Any credit file information used to conduct an identity verification process MUST have been in existence for at least three years from the date of verification.
101.1.1.30	Any credit file information used to conduct an identity verification process MUST contain information derived from more than one source (i.e., more than one tradeline).
101.1.1.40	Any credit file information used to conduct an identity verification process MUST be obtained directly from a Canadian Credit Bureau or through a third-party vendor authorized by a Credit Bureau regulated in Canada.
101.1.1.50	The Responsible Authority MUST have a written agreement in place with a Credit Bureau regulated in Canada that authorizes access to Canadian credit file Information.

101.1.1.60	Any credit file information used to conduct an identity verification process MUST: • Be obtained at the time the verification process is conducted; and, • Be valid and current at the time the verification process is conducted. (i.e., an individual cannot provide you with a copy of their credit file, nor can a previously obtained credit file be used).
101.1.1.61	The Responsible Authority MUST collect and return all the following data points to the Relying Party (e.g., lawyer): • The individual's name; • The name of the credit bureau holding the credit file; • The date the credit file was consulted, and, • The outcome of the verification check, including whether the individual's identity was verified or unverified, the reliability of the results and all other data points that might affect the lawyer's decision to interact with, or represent, the individual.
101.1.1.80.1	The Responsible Authority MUST develop and document an identity verification policy describing the risk assessment framework used to assess differences between current credit file information and the claimed identity.
101.1.1.90.1	An identity verification policy MUST describe the approach used to evaluate differences in name, address, and date of birth between the credit file and the claimed identity, either individually or as part of a larger data set.
101.1.1.100	When a credit bureau returns anti-fraud flags of any kind in response to an identity verification event, the Responsible Authority SHOULD have business processes in place to evaluate these flags for acceptable risk according to documented policy.
101.1.1.110.1	The Responsible Authority MUST verify that any credit file data used for an identity verification process contains at least two distinct tradelines within the past three (3) years. (i.e., tradelines reported from two different legal entities)"

101.1.1.120.1	The Responsible Authority using the Credit File Method MUST collect the legal name, date of birth, and home address information for the individual being verified and provide this data to a Credit Bureau regulated in Canada for processing, including: • At least two (2) home addresses if the party has moved within the past three (3) years; and, • Up to six (6) home addresses maximum.
101.1.2	Dual Process Method
101.1.2.10.1	When verifying the name, address, and date of birth provided by the individual claiming an identity, the Responsible Authority MUST ensure the information it receives is valid and current, comes from two (2) different Reliable Sources, and contains at least two (2) of the following: • Information from a reliable source that contains the individual's name and address; • Information from a reliable source that contains the individual's name and date of birth; and, • Information that contains the individual's name and confirms that they have a deposit account or a credit card or other loan amount with a financial institution. (For example, a reliable source could be the federal, provincial, territorial or municipal levels of government, Crown corporations, federally regulated financial institutions, or utility providers.)
101.1.2.20.1	Information sourced by the Responsible Authority or agent of the Responsible Authority from within their own line of business, MUST NOT be used to verify identity, even if it would otherwise be considered a reliable source for this purpose.
101.1.2.30	Information from the individual claiming the identity MUST NOT be used to also verify the identity. (Information collected from an individual is used to resolve the claimed identity to a single legal person which is then subsequently verified against reliable sources to ensure that the resolved identity exists and is valid.)

101.1.2.40	If credit file data is used as one of the sources used to satisfy the requirements of the Dual Process Method, the Responsible Authority MUST confirm the credit file from which the data is extracted has been in existence for at least six months.
101.1.2.50	If credit file data is used as one of the sources used to satisfy the requirements of the Dual Process Method, the Responsible Authority MUST verify that the credit file has been established and is active at time of verification and that it contains at least two distinct tradelines. (i.e., tradelines reported from two different legal entities)
101.1.2.61	The Responsible Authority MUST ensure documents used to satisfy the requirements of the Dual Process Method, (e.g., a bill from a utility company): • Are valid, unaltered, current and authentic; and, • Originate from, or are issued by, the Reliable Source or, alternatively, are obtained directly from the Reliable Source.
101.1.2.70	Physical documents without built-in authentication mechanisms SHOULD NOT be used as a source unless they are presented for examination in-person.
101.1.2.80	The acceptable level of risk resulting from differences between the information provided by the reliable source and the claimed identity information MUST conform with the requirements of regulated industry services, if applicable.
101.1.2.90.1	The Responsible Authority MUST develop and document an identity verification policy that describes the risk assessment framework and the approach used to evaluate differences in the name, address, and date of birth.
101.1.2.100	Any credit file information used to conduct an identity verification process MUST contain information derived from more than one source (i.e., more than one tradeline).

101.1.2.110	The Responsible Authority MUST collect and return all the following data points to the Relying Party (e.g., lawyer): • The individual's name; • The date the information was verified; • The name of the two different Reliable Sources that were used to verify the identity of the individual; • The type of information referred to; • The number associated with the information, if available; and, • The outcome of the verification check, including whether the individual's identity was verified or unverified, the reliability of the results and all other data points that might affect the lawyer's decision to interact with, or represent, the individual.
101.1.3	Photo ID Method
101.1.3.10.1	The Responsible Authority MUST collect and return all the following data points from government-issued photo identification to the to the Relying Party (e.g., lawyer): • A copy of the document(s) that were used for verification; • The individual's name; • The date on which the individual's identity was verified; • The type of document used for verification (e.g., driver's licence, passport, etc.); • A unique identifying number of the document used; • The jurisdiction (province or state) and country of issue of the document; • The expiry date of the document, if available. (i.e., if this information appears on the identification document, it must be collected and returned); and, • The outcome of the verification check, including whether the identity was verified or unverified, the reliability of the results and all other data points that might affect the lawyer's decision to interact with, or represent, the individual.
101.1.3.20.1	The Responsible Authority MUST use a technology capable of determining an identity document's authenticity to evaluate the security features of the government-issued photo identification document to verify that it is a valid document as issued by the Authoritative Source (e.g., federal, provincial, territorial or foreign government).

101.1.3.30	For documents with MRZs and/or barcodes, the Responsible Authority SHOULD compare the contents of the MRZ/barcode with data printed on the document and highlight mismatches. (An MRZ code is a string of characters that appears on the bottom of the personal data page of a passport. It is a combination of letters, numbers, and symbols that are arranged in three lines.)
101.1.3.40.1	The Responsible Authority MUST enforce the capture of government-issued photo identification documents in real time and prevent the uploading of an image file.
101.1.3.50.1	The Responsible Authority MUST support, at minimum, presentation of any of the following government-issued photo ID document types: • Canadian Passports; • Canadian Drivers' Licenses; • Canadian Permanent Resident Card; • Canadian Provincial or Territorial Photo ID Card (including the BC Services Card); and, • Canadian Certificate of Indian Status.
101.1.3.60.1	The Responsible Authority MUST document their conformity claims with WCAG 2.0 level AA or better, as specified in the standard. (Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) are developed through the W3C process in cooperation with individuals and organizations around the world, with a goal of providing a single shared standard for web content accessibility that meets the needs of individuals, organizations, and governments internationally.)
101.1.3.70	The Responsible Authority MUST use reliable technology to compare the features of the selfie to the photo on the authentic government-issued photo identification document (e.g., face matching).
101.1.3.80	Face-matching solutions employed by a Responsible Authority MUST undergo testing by a third party lab to evaluate the performance of the face recognition algorithm in a one-to-one matching scenario. (The existence, but not the content, of these test results must be available to be verified by an external auditor.)
101.1.3.90	The Responsible Authority completing the government-issued photo Identification Method MUST perform an active or passive liveness check on the selfie.

101.1.3.100	The Responsible Authority completing the government-issued photo Identification Method SHOULD have the Liveness check certified for Presentation Attack Detection according to the following criteria: ISO 30107-3 (certified by a third party). (Presentation Attack Detection is automated detection of an attempt to subvert a liveness check through measurement and analysis of anatomical characteristics or involuntary or voluntary reactions, in order to determine if a biometric sample is being captured from a living subject present at the point of capture.)
101.1.3.110	When using a mobile application to scan NFC-readable Photo ID documents, an NFC chip reading SHOULD be used for a higher level of assurance to cryptographically verify authenticity and issuer certificate origin. (Near-field communication (NFC) is a set of communication protocols that enables communication between two electronic devices over very short distances)

6. Revision History

Version	Date of Issue	Author(s)	Change Description
0.01	2024-10-22	PCTF Legal Professionals Profile Design Team	Initial Discussion Draft.
0.02	2024-12-02	PCTF Legal Professionals Profile Design Team	Minor editorial changes per initial TFEC review, addition of examples and improved clarity on conformance criteria.
1.0	2025-01-15	PCTF Legal Professionals Profile Design Team	Approved by TFEC as Draft Recommendation V1.0.
1.1	2025-05-21	PCTF Legal Professionals Profile Design Team	Draft Recommendation changes incorporated based on the feedback received during the public Call for Comments & IPR Review.
1.2	2025-05-27	PCTF Legal Professionals Profile Design Team	Draft Recommendation minor changes incorporated based on the feedback received during TFEC pre-motion review.
1.0	2025-06-04	PCTF Legal Professionals Profile Design Team	Approved by TFEC as Candidate for Final Recommendation V1.0.
1.1	2025-07-30	PCTF Legal Professionals Profile Design Team	TFEC approval met for Conformance criteria 101.1.3.70 revision. Removed “face recognition” and replaced with “reliable” technology. “Face matching” is still included as an example but as an “e.g.” not an “i.e.”. Document status updated to Candidate for Final Recommendation V1.1.
1.0	2025-09-19	PCTF Legal Professionals Profile Design Team	Approved as Final Recommendation V1.1 through a DIACC Sustaining Member Ballot.

